Applied Ichthyology - J. Appl. Ichthyol. 31 (2015), 567–570

© 2015 Blackwell Verlag GmbH ISSN 0175-8659



Received: March 3, 2014 Accepted: October 28, 2014 doi: 10.1111/jai.12712

Technical contribution

Length-weight relationships of 58 fish species in French Guiana streams

By L. Allard^{1,2,3}, A. Toussaint^{1,2}, R. Vigouroux³ and S. Brosse^{1,2}

¹UMR5174 EDB (Laboratoire Évolution & Diversité Biologique), CNRS, ENFA, Université Toulouse Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, France; ²CNRS, UMR5174 EDB, Université Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, France; ³Hydreco Guyane, Laboratoire environnement de petit Saut, Kourou Cedex, Guyane française, France

Summary

ournal of

Length-weight relationship parameters of the form $W = aL^b$ are presented for 58 fish species representing 36 genus and 19 families captured in streams of French Guiana. LWRs for 53 of the species are estimated for the first time.

Introduction

Although an increasing interest is given to the Amazonian and Guiana shield freshwaters, most studies have dealt with large rivers, with only a few studies devoted to the fish fauna in small streams (however, see Mol and Ouboter, 2004; Brosse et al., 2011, 2013; Allard et al., 2014). Hence, biological information on fish fauna in streams remains scarce. A recent survey of fish was conducted in over 95 streams of French Guiana. Collected fishes were used to provide estimates of the length-weight relationship (LWR) parameters for 58 species, for which at least 20 individuals per species were caught, weighed and measured.

Materials and methods

Fishes were collected during the dry season (from September to December) in 2011 and 2012. The 95 study sites were dispersed throughout French Guiana and belong to the seven main river basins (Oyapock, Approuague, Comté, Sinnamary, Kourou, Mana and Maroni); however, fishes were also collected from tributaries of smaller coastal rivers. All sites were small streams (<1 m deep and <10 m width). Fish were collected using PREDATOX, a 6.6% emulsifiable solution of rotenone extracted from Derris elliptica by Saphyr, Antibes, France. This allowed the capture of all fishes from the study area without body size selectivity. All individuals were identified to species in the laboratory according to Planquette et al. (1996), Keith et al. (2000) and Le Bail et al. (2000). Taxonomy was then actualised according to Le Bail et al. (2012). All fishes were standard length (SL) measured to the nearest mm and weighed (TW) to the nearest 0.01 g, with a calliper and a Sartorius-talent weighing scale, respectively. Standard length was preferred to total or fork lengths as SL is not sensitive to caudal fin injuries. This also avoided bias due to particular fish morphologies (e.g. Loricariidae, which can have caudal filaments).

The length-weight relationships in fish have the form:

$$TW = aSL^b, (1)$$

where TW is total weight (g), SL the standard length (mm), a the intercept, and b the slope; Standard errors of b were calculated to detect significant deviation from isometric growth (b = 3, Froese, 2006).

The linearized equation of the (1) is of the form:

$$\Gamma W = \log\left(a\right) + b\log\left(SL\right). \tag{2}$$

Parameters estimates and fit of (2) was done with linear regression. LWRs were limited to species represented by at least 20 individuals to ensure the relevance of the linear regression models. All linear regressions were carried out with the R software (R Development Core Team, 2011). Data was carefully checked when a and b values fell beyond the 95% confidence interval given in FishBase (Froese and Pauly, 2013).

Results

A total of 8827 individuals belonging to 58 species and 19 families were weighed and measured. The sample size, minimum and maximum SL and TW were measured for each species. Results of the length-weight linear regression analysis of the 58 fish species are given in Table 1, as well as the determination coefficient (r^2), the intercept *a*, the slope *b* and their 95% confidence interval. All regressions were highly significant (P < 0.001), with the determination coefficient ranging from 0.909 for *Characidium zebra* to 0.996 for *Satanoperca rhynchitis*.

Discussion

Of the 58 length-weight relationships, 53 LWRs are new. To our knowledge, among the species considered the LWRs have been reported only for *Astyanax bimaculatus*, *Characidium zebra*, *Hoplias malabaricus*, *Leporinus friderici* and *Rhamdia quelen* from rivers and reservoirs in Brazil (Benedito-Cecilio, 1997; Gubiani et al., 2009; Orsi and Britton, 2012; Antonetti et al., 2014; Da Costa et al., 2014). Comparing our results

L. Allard et al.

Table 1 Standard length (SL) (mm) – weight (TW) relationship for 58 fishes from French Guiana streams, based on $TW = aSL^b$

		SL		TW				
	п	Min	Max	Min	Max	a	b	r^2
Order: Characiformes								
Curimatidae								
Cyphocharax helleri (Steindachner, 1876) ^a	38	2.6	10.0	0.35	29.20	0.0180 [0.0155-0.0210]	3.22 [3.14–3.31]	0.994
Cyphocharax spilurus (Günther, 1864) ^a	21	2.3	9.0	0.18	18.80	0.0172 [0.0134–0.0221]	3.16 [3.01–3.32]	0.990
Anostomidae		2.6	• •		15.00		a 1a fa o (a an	0.000
Anostomus brevior (Gery, 1963) ^a	23	3.6	9.2	0.58	15.00	0.0115 [0.00849–0.0157]	3.12 [2.96–3.27]	0.988
Hypomasticus despaxi (Puyo, 1943)"	23	5.0	9.3	2.27	14.40	0.0193 [0.0108–0.0347]	2.99 [2.70-3.28]	0.95/
Leporinus friderici (Bloch, 1794)	25	5.4	18.2	2.70	125.00	0.0141 [0.0101 - 0.019/]	3.11 [2.96-3.25]	0.988
Planguatta & La Pail 1001) ^a	33	4.0	15.5	2.55	97.40	0.0172 [0.0138-0.0214]	5.14 [5.04-5.25]	0.995
Lanorinus granti (Figenmann 1012) ^a	01	37	187	1 17	230.00	0.0184 [0.0151 0.0225]	3 08 [3 00 3 16]	0.083
Leporinus granti (Ergennann, 1912)	30	49	14.4	3.17	72.90	0.0104 [0.0131 - 0.0223] 0.0498 [0.0286 - 0.0867]	2 67 [2 43-2 91]	0.903
Crenuchidae	50	7.2	17.7	5.17	72.90	0.0490 [0.0200 0.0007]	2.07 [2.45 2.71]	0.940
Characidium zebra (Eigenmann, 1909)	221	1.8	7.4	0.10	6.27	0 00913 [0 00759-0 0110]	3 26 [3 12-3 40]	0.909
Melanocharacidium blennioides	30	1.6	5.5	0.04	2.02	0.00971 [0.00645–0.0146]	3.21 [2.90–3.51]	0.942
(Eigenmann, 1909) ^a								
Characidae								
Astyanax bimaculatus (Linnaeus, 1758)	132	2.4	9.7	0.24	28.10	0.0106 [0.00952-0.0117]	3.44 [3.38-3.50]	0.989
Astyanax validus (Géry, Planquette &	240	3.8	12.0	1.37	52.90	0.0184 [0.0166-0.0204]	3.20 [3.15-3.25]	0.985
Le Bail, 1991) ^a								
Bryconops affinis (Günther, 1864) ^a	911	1.7	10.8	0.06	22.80	0.0157 [0.0152-0.0163]	3.08 [3.06-3.10]	0.990
Bryconops caudomaculatus (Günther, 1864) ^a	150	1.3	10.3	0.04	15.10	0.0130 [0.0118-0.0143]	3.12 [3.05-3.18]	0.984
Bryconops aff. Caudomaculatus ^a	66	3.2	9.2	0.40	12.30	0.0181 [0.0130-0.0253]	3.00 [2.81-3.20]	0.939
Bryconops melanurus (Bloch, 1794) ^a	72	2.3	10.2	0.10	13.40	0.0148 [0.0128-0.0172]	2.99 [2.90-3.07]	0.985
Hemibrycon surinamensis (Géry, 1962) ^a	196	2.2	9.5	0.10	19.40	0.0140 [0.0122-0.0160]	3.23 [3.15–3.31]	0.968
Jupiaba abramoides (Eigenmann, 1909)"	261	2.0	11.7	0.10	41.00	0.0143 [0.0130–0.0156]	3.29 [3.24–3.34]	0.986
Jupiaba keithi (Gery, Planquette &	151	2.3	7.5	0.30	10.20	0.0196 [0.0172–0.0223]	3.13 [3.03–3.22]	0.965
Le Bail, 1996)" Manual marine de la companya (C in the m $10(4)^{a}$	200	1.0	0.0	0.16	20.00	0.0152 [0.0141.0.01(7]	2 27 [2 22 2 42]	0.004
Moenkhausia chrysargyrea (Gunther, 1864) Moenkhausia georgiae (Géry, 1965) ^a	290	1.9	8.9 12 1	0.10	28.00	0.0155 [0.0141 - 0.0167] 0.0252 [0.0220 0.0289]	3.37 [3.33-3.42] 3.06 [2.00, 3.14]	0.984
Moenkhausia hemiarammoides (Géry, 1965) ^a	77	1.5	37	0.28	47.40	0.0232 [0.0220 - 0.0289] 0.0204 [0.0172 0.0242]	3.00 [2.99–3.14]	0.980
Moenkhausia moisae (Géry, Planquette &	130	2.0	10.6	0.09	29.70	0.00855 [0.00783_0.00935]	3.60 [3.54_3.66]	0.955
Le Bail 1995) ^a	150	2.0	10.0	0.10	29.70	0.000000 [0.00700-0.00900]	5.00 [5.54-5.00]	0.771
Moenkhausia oligolenis (Günther, 1864) ^a	754	2.1	9.7	0.30	105.0	0.0251 [0.0232-0.0272]	3.11 [3.07-3.16]	0.963
Moenkhausia surinamensis (Gérv. 1965) ^a	141	2.6	10.3	0.36	34.60	0.0188 [0.0165–0.0215]	3.21 [3.14-3.28]	0.983
Poptella brevispina (Reis, 1989) ^a	347	2.0	8.8	0.08	18.00	0.0272 [0.0243-0.0306]	3.01 [2.94-3.08]	0.954
Acestrorhynchidae						L .	. ,	
Acestrorhynchus falcatus (Bloch, 1794) ^a	52	6.3	24.3	2.50	212.00	0.00899 [0.00632-0.0128]	3.11 [2.97-3.25]	0.977
Erythrinidae								
Erythrinus erythrinus (Bloch & Schneider, 1801) ^a	53	2.7	14.5	0.30	73.10	0.0136 [0.0118-0.0156]	3.18 [3.11-3.25]	0.994
Hoplias aimara (Valenciennes, 1847) ^a	72	1.6	43.5	0.06	1960.0	0.0164 [0.0117-0.0230]	3.06 [2.89-3.23]	0.947
Hoplias malabaricus (Bloch, 1794)	52	5.3	23.0	2.20	245.00	0.0130 [0.0111-0.0154]	3.11 [3.04–3.18]	0.994
Lebiasinidae								
Pyrrhulina filamentosa (Valenciennes, 1847) ^a	563	1.7	11.1	0.05	11.90	0.0105 [0.00982–0.0113]	3.16 [3.11–3.21]	0.970
Order: Siluriformes								
Cetopsidae	(75	1.0	= 0	0.00	0.57	0.0111 50.0104 0.01201	2 21 52 14 2 24	0.065
Helogenes marmoratus (Gunther, 1863)"	6/5	1.6	7.9	0.08	8.5/	0.0111 [0.0104–0.0120]	3.21 [3.16-3.26]	0.965
Loricariidae $(Ciinthen 10(4)^{a})$	110	17	0 7	0.07	16.40	0.0150 [0.0142 0.0170]	2 27 [2 10 2 25]	0.001
Ancistrus off horizonus (Günther, 1864)	02	1./	8.3	0.07	10.40	0.0139 [0.0142 - 0.0179]	3.27 [3.18 - 3.33]	0.981
Ancistrus all. noplogenys (Gunther, 1864)	83 26	1.2	8.2	0.00	12.30	0.0138 [0.0113-0.0108]	3.23 [3.11 - 3.40]	0.962
(Haitmans, Niisson & Ishrijakar, 1082) ^a	50	2.0	9.0	0.14	18.00	0.0170 [0.0148-0.0196]	5.21 [5.15-5.5]0	0.995
Hunostomus gumnorhunchus (Norman, 1985)	66	1.0	26.6	0.05	253.00	0.0161 [0.0136 0.0190]	3 14 [3 03 3 25]	0.080
Lithorus planauattai (Boeseman 1982) ^a	62	2.2	20.0 5.7	0.05	3.84	0.0116 [0.00959_0.0141]	3.14 [3.05-3.25]	0.980
Pseudonimelodidae	02	2.2	5.7	0.17	5.04	0.0110 [0.00757-0.0141]	5.55 [5.17-5.47]	0.775
Batrochoglanis raninus (Valenciennes 1840) ^a	121	1.9	10.4	0.14	37.60	0 0235 [0 0195-0 0283]	3 07 [2 95-3 18]	0.959
Heptapteridae			1.0.1	0.11	27.00		2.07 [=:55 5.10]	0.707
Chasmocranus longior (Eigenmann, 1912) ^a	150	2.7	11.3	0.10	13.40	0.00762 [0.00669-0.00866]	3.06 [3-3.13]	0.982
Pimelodella cristata (Müller & Troschel. 1849) ^a	193	4.2	21.0	0.69	74.40	0.0148 [0.0121–0.0183]	2.86 [2.76-2.95]	0.946
Pimelodella geryi (Hoedeman, 1961) ^a	23	3.2	10.8	0.30	14.00	0.0127 [0.00938-0.0172]	2.93 [2.76-3.1]	0.984
Pimelodella procera (Mees, 1983) ^a	199	3.2	11.7	0.20	15.10	0.00776 [0.00689-0.00874]	3.11 [3.05-3.17]	0.982
Rhamdia quelen (Quoy & Gaimard, 1824)	114	6.5	22.5	3.72	203.00	0.0111 [0.00937-0.0131]	3.11 [3.05–3.18]	0.989

568

Fish species in French Guiana streams

Table 1 (Continued)

		SL		TW				
	п	Min	Max	Min	Max	a	b	r^2
Order: Gymnotiformes								
Gymnotidae								
Gymnotus coropinae (Hoedeman, 1962) ^a	274	3.8	34.5	0.12	83.50	0.00371 [0.00293-0.0047]	2.75 [2.65-2.85]	0.913
Sternopygidae								
Sternopygus macrurus	218	2.9	52.9	0.09	151.00	0.00297 [0.00232-0.0038]	2.79 [2.71–2.87]	0.954
(Bloch & Schneider, 1801) ^a								
Hypopomidae								
Brachyhypopomus beebei (Schultz, 1944) ^a	21	4.4	12.5	0.30	5.65	0.00512 [0.00286-0.00916]	2.69 [2.40-2.99]	0.951
Order: Perciformes								
Cichlidae								
Cleithracara maronii (Steindachner, 1881) ^a	50	2.8	6.8	1.03	20.60	0.0572 [0.0472-0.0692]	3.03 [2.90-3.15]	0.980
Crenicichla albopunctata (Pellegrin, 1904) ^a	37	2.5	13.5	0.25	43.10	0.0151 [0.0121-0.0188]	3.06 [2.94-3.18]	0.987
Crenicichla saxatilis (Linnaeus, 1758) ^a	209	2.1	18.8	0.14	110.00	0.0124 [0.0116-0.0132]	3.14 [3.10-3.17]	0.993
Guianacara geayi (Pellegrin, 1902) ^a	67	1.4	9.1	0.06	27.50	0.032 [0.0289-0.0353]	3.16 [3.09-3.22]	0.993
Guianacara owroewefi	27	1.8	6.0	0.13	7.90	0.0272 [0.0212-0.0349]	3.23 [3.05-3.41]	0.982
(Kullander & Nijssen, 1989) ^a								
Krobia aff. guianensis sp. ^a	194	0.7	12.1	0.01	84.30	0.0302 [0.0281-0.0324]	3.23 [3.17-3.30]	0.983
Krobia itanyi (Puyo, 1943) ^a	26	1.8	9.5	0.20	34.80	0.0264 [0.0201-0.0346]	3.19 [3.02-3.36]	0.983
Nannacara aureocephalus (Allgayer, 1983) ^a	330	1.2	5.8	0.06	6.20	0.0367 [0.0341-0.0394]	2.94 [2.87-3.01]	0.955
Satanoperca rhynchitis (Kullander, 2012) ^a	28	2.3	13.5	0.35	73.40	0.0317 [0.0273-0.0369]	2.99 [2.92-3.07]	0.996
Order: Cyprinodontiformes								
Rivulidae								
Anablepsoides igneus (Huber, 1991) ^a	32	1.3	8.4	0.02	9.90	0.0106 [0.00864-0.0131]	3.11 [2.97-3.25]	0.986
Anablepsoides lungi (Berkenkamp, 1984) ^a	48	1.7	7.1	0.10	4.25	0.0206 0.0161-0.0264	2.73 [2.55-2.92]	0.951
Laimosemion geayi (Vaillant, 1899) ^a	91	1.0	3.1	0.02	0.50	0.0139 [0.0121–0.016]	3.13 [2.92–3.34]	0.911

n, Sample size; Min, Minimum; Max, maximum; *a*, intercept of the relationship; *b*, slope of the relationship $TW = aSL^b$; r^2 , coefficient of determination of the relationship.

95% confidence intervals for a and b in brackets. New maximum size data highlighted in bold. Italics – value of a and b outside range reported in FishBase. Length–weight relationships for all species significant at P < 0.001. Species are listed alphabetically within orders and families.

^aFirst report of length-weight relationship for the species.

with those given in FishBase reveals some discrepancies (Froese and Pauly, 2013). Indeed, the a and b parameters of the LWRs given in FishBase arise from a compilation of estimates from different genus or species belonging to the same family and having the same body shape (Froese et al., 2013). Our results hence represent the first direct estimates of the LWRs for most of the considered species. The size ranges we report are consistent with those found in the literature and thus we are confident that these ranges encompass all sizes range for the species considered. These were verified for all species but one, as Hoplias aimara can grow much bigger in large rivers than in small streams (up to more than 100 cm SL; Planquette et al., 1996). The LWR for H. aimara should only be used within the observed length range of the species. Although sampling was carried out only during the dry season, the LWRs given here remain useful for most fish studies in the Amazonian and Guiana shield streams, as those studies are often conducted during the dry season to make fish capture easier and more efficient (e.g. Mol and Ouboter, 2004; Brosse et al., 2011, 2013; Allard et al., 2014).

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the DEAL Guyane and the Parc Amazonien de Guyane ("small streams" research program), and the Labex CEBA (ANR-10-LABX-25-01) for providing financial support.

References

- Allard, L.; Grenouillet, G.; Khazraie, K.; Tudesque, L.; Vigouroux, R.; Brosse, S., 2014: Electrofishing efficiency in low conductivity neotropical streams: towards a non-lethal fish sampling technique. Fish. Manag. Ecol. 21, 234–243.
- Antonetti, D. A.; Leal, M. E.; Schulz, U. H., 2014: Length-weight relationships for 19 fish species from the Jacuí Delta, RS, Brazil. J. Appl. Ichthyol. 30, 259–260.
- Benedito-Cecilio, E., 1997: Length-weight relationship of fishes caught in the Itaipu Reservoir, Paraná, Brazil. Naga 20, 57–61.
- Brosse, S.; Grenouillet, G.; Gevrey, M.; Khazraie, K.; Tudesque, L., 2011: Small-scale gold mining erodes fish assemblage structure in small neotropical streams. Biodivers. Conserv. 20, 1013–1026.
- Brosse, S.; Montoya-Burgos, J. I.; Grenouillet, G.; Surugue, N., 2013: Determinants of fish assemblage structure in Mount Itoupé mountain streams (French Guiana). Int. J. Limnol. 49, 43–49.
- Da Costa, M. R.; Moreti, T.; Araújo, F. G., 2014: Length-weight relationships of 20 fish species in the Guandu River, Rio de Janeiro State, Southeastern Brazil. J. Appl. Ichthyol. 30, 200– 201.
- Froese, R., 2006: Cube law, condition factor and weight-length relationships: history, meta-analysis and recommendations. J. Appl. Ichthyol. 22, 241–253.
- Froese, R.; Pauly, D. (Eds) 2013: FishBase. World Wide Web electronic publication. version 04/2013. Available at: http:// www.fishbase.org (accessed on 10 January 2014).
- Froese, R.; Thorson, J. T.; Reyes, R. B. Jr, 2013: A Bayesian approach for estimating length-weight relationships in fishes. J. Appl. Ichthyol. 30, 78–85.
- Gubiani, E. A.; Gomes, L. C.; Agostinho, A. A., 2009: Lengthlength and length-weight relationships for 48 fish species from

reservoirs of the Paraná State, Brazil. Lakes Reserv. Res. Manag. 14, 289-299.

- Keith, P.; Le Bail, P. Y.; Planquette, P., 2000: Atlas des poissons d'eau douce de Guyane (Tome 2- Fascicule I). MNHM, Paris, pp. 286.
- Le Bail, P. Y.; Keith, P.; Planquette, P., 2000: Atlas des poissons d'eau douce de Guyane (tome 2, fascicule II). MNHN, Paris, pp. 307.
- Le Bail, P. Y.; Covain, R.; Jégu, M.; Fish-Muller, S.; Vigouroux, R.; Keith, P., 2012: Updated checklist of the freshwater and estuarine fishes of French Guiana. Cybium 36, 293-319.
- Mol, J. H.; Ouboter, P. E., 2004: Downstream effects of erosion from small-scale gold mining on the instream habitat and fish community of a small neotropical rainforest stream. Conserv. Biol. 18, 201–214.
- Orsi, M. L.; Britton, J. R., 2012: Length-weight relationships of 15 fishes of the Capivara Reservoir (Paranapanema basin, Brazil). J. Appl. Ichthyol. 28, 146-147.
- Planquette, P.; Keith, P.; Le Bail, P. Y., 1996: Atlas des poissons d'eau douce de Guyane (tome 1). MNHN, Paris, pp. 429.R Development Core Team, 2011: R: a language and environment
- for statistical computing. R Development Core Team, Vienna.
- Author's address: Luc Allard, UMR5174 EDB (Laboratoire Évolution & Diversité Biologique), CNRS, ENFA, Université Toulouse Paul Sabatier, 118 route de Narbonne, F-31062 Toulouse, France. E-mail: luc.allard@hydrecolab.com